Equality constraints

KKT conditions

Sensitivity analysis

Generalized reduced gradient

(ロ)

Constrained Optimization

ME555 Lecture

(Max) Yi Ren

Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Michigan

March 23, 2014

<ロト < @ ト < E ト < E ト E のみの

- 1. Equality constraints only
 - 1.1 Reduced gradient
 - 1.2 Lagrange multiplier and Lagrangian
 - 1.3 Examples
- 2. KKT conditions
 - 2.1 With inequality constraints
 - 2.2 Non-negative Lagrangian multiplier
 - 2.3 Regularity
 - 2.4 KKT conditions
 - 2.5 Geometric interpretation of KKT conditions
 - 2.6 Examples
- 3. Sensitivity analysis
- 4. Generalized reduced gradient (GRG)

Equality constraints

KKT conditions

Sensitivity analysis

Generalized reduced gradient

From unconstrained to constrained



KKT conditions

Sensitivity analysis

Generalized reduced gradient

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のお命

Optimization with equality constraints (1/3)

A general optimization problem with only equality constraints is the following:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & f(\mathbf{x}) \\ \text{subject to} & h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., m. \end{array}$$

Let there be *n* variables. With *m* equality constraints, the simplest idea is to eliminate *m* variables by using the equalities and solve for the rest n - m variables. However, such elimination may not be analytically feasible in practices.

Considering take a perturbation from a feasible point **x**, the perturbation ∂ **x** needs to be such that the equality constraints are still satisfied. Mathematically, it requires the first-order approximations of the perturbations for constraints be:

$$\partial h_j = \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial h_j / \partial x_i) \partial x_i = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots m.$$
(1)

Equality constraints

KKT conditions

Sensitivity analysis

Generalized reduced gradient

Optimization with equality constraints (2/3)

Equation (1) contains a system of linear equations with n - m degrees of freedom. Let us define *state variables* as:

$$s_i := x_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

and decision variables as:

$$d_i := x_i, \quad i = m + 1, ..., n.$$

The number of decision variables is equal to the number of degrees of freedom. Equation (1) can be rewritten as:

$$(\partial \mathbf{h}/\partial \mathbf{s})\partial \mathbf{s} = -(\partial \mathbf{h}/\partial \mathbf{d})\partial \mathbf{d},\tag{2}$$

where the matrix $(\partial \mathbf{h}/\partial \mathbf{s})$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial s_1} & \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial s_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial s_m} \\ \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial s_1} & \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial s_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial s_m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial h_m}{\partial s_1} & \frac{\partial h_m}{\partial s_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_m}{\partial s_m} \end{bmatrix},$$

which is the Jacobian matrix with respect to the state variables. $\partial \mathbf{h}/\partial \mathbf{d}$ is then the Jacobian with respect to the decision variables.

Equality constraints

KKT conditions

Sensitivity analysis

Generalized reduced gradient

Optimization with equality constraints (3/3)

From Equation (2), we can further have

$$\partial \mathbf{s} = -(\partial \mathbf{h}/\partial \mathbf{s})^{-1}(\partial \mathbf{h}/\partial \mathbf{d})\partial \mathbf{d}.$$
 (3)

Equation (3) shows that for some perturbation for the decision variables, we can derive the corresponding perturbation for the state variables so that $\partial h(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ for first-order approximation. Notice that Equation (3) can only be derived when the Jacobian $\partial \mathbf{h}/\partial \mathbf{s}$ is invertible, i.e., the gradients of equality constraints must be linearly independent.

Since s can be considered as functions of d, the original constrained optimization problem can be treated as an unconstrained problem for minimizing

$$\min_{\mathbf{d}} \quad f(\mathbf{x}) := z(\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{d}), \mathbf{d}).$$

The gradient of this new objective function is

$$\partial z/\partial \mathbf{d} = (\partial f/\partial \mathbf{d}) + (\partial f/\partial \mathbf{s})(\partial \mathbf{s}/\partial \mathbf{d}).$$

Plug in Equation (3) to have

 $\partial z/\partial \mathbf{d} = (\partial f/\partial \mathbf{d}) - (\partial f/\partial \mathbf{s})(\partial \mathbf{h}/\partial \mathbf{s})^{-1}(\partial \mathbf{h}/\partial \mathbf{d}).$ (4)

Equality constraints

KKT conditions

Sensitivity analysis

Generalized reduced gradient

Lagrange multiplier

From Equation (4), a stationary point $\mathbf{x}_* = (\mathbf{s}_*, \mathbf{d}_*)^T$ will then satisfy

$$(\partial f/\partial \mathbf{d}) - (\partial f/\partial \mathbf{s})(\partial \mathbf{h}/\partial \mathbf{s})^{-1}(\partial \mathbf{h}/\partial \mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{0}^T,$$
(5)

evaluated at \mathbf{x}_* . Equation (5) and $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0}$ together have *n* equalities and *n* variables. The stationary point \mathbf{x}_* can be found when $\partial \mathbf{h} / \partial \mathbf{s}$ is invertible for some choice of \mathbf{s} .

Now introduce the Lagrange multiplier as

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{T} := -(\partial f / \partial \mathbf{s})(\partial \mathbf{h} / \partial \mathbf{s})^{-1}.$$
(6)

From Equations (5) and (6), we can have

$$(\partial f/\partial \mathbf{d}) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T (\partial \mathbf{h}/\partial \mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{0}^T \text{ and } (\partial f/\partial \mathbf{s}) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T (\partial \mathbf{h}/\partial \mathbf{s}) = \mathbf{0}^T.$$

Recall that $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d})^T$, then for a stationary point we have

$$(\partial f/\partial \mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{T}(\partial \mathbf{h}/\partial \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}.$$
 (7)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ● のみ命

Equality constraints

KKT conditions

Sensitivity analysis

Generalized reduced gradient

Lagrangian function

Introduce the *Lagrangian* function for the original optimization problem with equality constraints:

 $L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) := f(\mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}).$

First-order necessary condition: \mathbf{x}_* is a (constrained) stationary point if $\partial L/\partial \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\partial L/\partial \mathbf{\lambda} = \mathbf{0}$.

This condition leads to Equation (7) and $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0}$, which in total has m + n variables (\mathbf{x} and λ) and m + n equalities. The stationary point solved using the Lagrangian function will be the same as that from the reduced gradient method in Equation (5).

Define the Hessian of the Lagrangian with respect to \mathbf{x} as $L_{\mathbf{xx}}$.

Second-order sufficiency condition: If \mathbf{x}_* together with some λ satisfies $\partial L/\partial \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0}$, and $\partial \mathbf{x}_*^T L_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}} \partial \mathbf{x}_* > 0$ for any $\partial \mathbf{x}_* \neq \mathbf{0}$ that satisfies $\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \partial \mathbf{x}_* = \mathbf{0}$, then \mathbf{x}_* is a local (constrained) minimum.

Equality constraints

KKT conditions

Sensitivity analysis

Generalized reduced gradient

<<p><□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□>
<□></

Examples (1/4)

Exercise 5.2 For the problem

$$\min_{x_1, x_2} (x_1 - 2)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$$

subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1 = 0$,

find the optimal solution using constrained derivatives (reduced gradient) and Lagrange multipliers.

Exercise 5.3 For the problem

$$\min_{x_1, x_2} \qquad x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_3^2$$

subject to
$$5x_1^2 + 4x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 20 = 0,$$
$$x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = 0,$$

find the optimal solution using constrained derivatives and Lagrange multipliers.

Equality constraints

KKT conditions

Sensitivity analysis

Generalized reduced gradient

Examples (2/4)

(A problem where Lagrangian multipliers cannot be found) For the problem

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\min_{x_1,x_2} & x_1 + x_2 \\
\text{subject to} & (x_1 - 1)^2 + x_2^2 - 1 = 0, \\
& (x_1 - 2)^2 + x_2^2 - 4 = 0,
\end{array}$$

find the optimal solution and Lagrange multipliers. (Source: Fig. 3.1.2 D.P. Bertsekas, Nonlinear Programming)



Equality constraints

KKT conditions

Sensitivity analysis

Generalized reduced gradient

< □ > < @ > < E > < E > E < ????

Examples (3/4)

Important: In all development of theory hereafter, we assume that stationary points are *regular*. We will discuss in more details the regularity condition in the next section on KKT.

(A problem where Lagrangian multipliers are zeros) For the problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{x} & x^2 \\ \text{subject to} & x = 0, \end{array}$$

find the optimal solution and Lagrange multipliers.

Important: In all development of theory hereafter, we assume that all equality constraints are active.

Equality constraints

Examples (4/4)

KKT conditions

Sensitivity analysis

Generalized reduced gradient

< □ > < @ > < E > < E > E ~ ????

Example 5.6 Consider the problem with $x_i > 0$:

 $\min_{x_1, x_2, x_3} \quad x_1^2 x_2 + x_2^2 x_3 + x_1 x_3^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 3 = 0.$

find the optimal solution using Lagrangian.

Outline Equality c

ality constraints

KKT conditions

Sensitivity analysis

Generalized reduced gradient

With inequality constraints

Let us now look at the constrained optimization problem with both equality and inequality constraints

$$\label{eq:subject} \begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & f(\mathbf{x}) \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, \qquad \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = 0. \end{split}$$

Denote $\hat{\mathbf{g}}$ as a set of inequality constraints that are active at a stationary point. Then following the discussion on the optimality conditions for problems with equality constraints, we have

$$(\partial f/\partial \mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{T}(\partial \mathbf{h}/\partial \mathbf{x}) + \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{T}(\partial \hat{\mathbf{g}}/\partial \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}^{T},$$
(8)

where λ and $\hat{\mu}$ are Lagrangian multipliers on **h** and $\hat{\mathbf{g}}$.

Outline Equality c

Equality constraints

KKT conditions

Sensitivity analysis

Generalized reduced gradient

< □ > < @ > < E > < E > E < 338

Nonnegative Lagrange multiplier

The Lagrange multipliers (at the local minimum) for inequality constraints μ are nonnegative. This can be shown by examining the first-order perturbations for *f*, **g** and **h** at a local minimum for feasible nonzero perturbations $\partial \mathbf{x}$:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \partial \mathbf{x} \ge 0, \qquad \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{g}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \partial \mathbf{x} \le \mathbf{0}, \qquad \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \partial \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{9}$$

Combining Equations (8) and (9) we get $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^T \partial \hat{\mathbf{g}} \leq 0$. Since $\partial \hat{\mathbf{g}} \leq \mathbf{0}$ for feasibility, we have $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \geq \mathbf{0}$.

\cap		41	÷	 ~
Q	u	u	-	 c

Equality constraints

KKT conditions

Sensitivity analysis

Generalized reduced gradient

Regularity

A *Regular* point **x** is such that the active inequality constraints and all equality constraints are linearly independent, i.e., $((\partial \hat{\mathbf{g}}/\partial \mathbf{x})^T, (\partial \mathbf{h}/\partial \mathbf{x}^T))$ should have independent columns.

Active constraints with zero multipliers are possible when \mathbf{x}_* is not a regular point. This situation is usually referred to as *degeneracy*.



Equality constr
0000000

The Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) conditions

For the optimization problem

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} & f(\mathbf{x}) \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, \qquad \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \end{split}$$

its optimal solution \mathbf{x}_* (assumed to be regular) must satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_*) &\leq 0; \\ \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}_*) &= 0; \\ (\partial f / \partial \mathbf{x}_*) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T (\partial \mathbf{h} / \partial \mathbf{x}_*) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^T (\partial \mathbf{g} / \partial \mathbf{x}_*) = \mathbf{0}^T, \end{aligned}$$
(10)
where $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \neq \mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \geq \mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \mathbf{g} = 0.$

A point that satisfies the KKT conditions is called a *KKT point* and may not be a minimum since the conditions are not sufficient.

Second-order sufficiency conditions: If a KKT point \mathbf{x}_* exists, such that the Hessian of the Lagrangian on feasible perturbations is positive-definite, i.e., $\partial \mathbf{x}^T L_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}} \partial \mathbf{x} > 0$ for any nonzero $\partial \mathbf{x}_*$ that satisfies $\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \partial \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{g}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \partial \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, then \mathbf{x}_* is a local constrained minimum. Outline Equality c

Equality constraints

KKT conditions

Sensitivity analysis

Generalized reduced gradient

Geometry interpretation of KKT conditions

The KKT conditions (necessary) state that $-\partial f/\partial \mathbf{x}_*$ should belong to the cone spanned by the gradients of the active constraints at \mathbf{x}_* .



The second-order sufficiency conditions require both the objective functon and the feasible space be locally convex at the solution. Further, *if a KKT point exists for a convex function subject to a convex constraint set, then this point is a unique global minimizer.*

\cap		61	÷	 _
0	u	u	ł	 c

Equality constraints

KKT conditions ○○○○○● Sensitivity analysis

Generalized reduced gradient

< □ > < @ > < \ > < \ > < \ > \ \ \ ???

Example

Example 5.10: Solve the following problem using KKT conditions

$$\min_{x_1, x_2} \qquad 8x_1^2 - 8x_1x_2 + 3x_2^2$$

subject to $x_1 - 4x_2 + 3 \le 0,$
 $-x_1 + 2x_2 \le 0.$

Example with irregular solution: Solve the following problem

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\min_{x_1, x_2} & -x_1 \\
\text{subject to} & x_2 - (1 - x_1)^3 \le 0, \\
& -x_1 \le 0, \\
& -x_2 \le 0.
\end{array}$$

Equality constraints

KKT conditions

Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis (1/2)

Consider the constrained problem with local minimum \mathbf{x}_* and $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}_*) = \mathbf{0}$ being the set of equality constraints and active inequality constraints. What will happen to the optimal objective value $f(\mathbf{x}_*)$ when we make a small perturbation $\partial \mathbf{h}$, e.g., slightly relax (restrain) the constraints?

Use the partition $\partial \mathbf{x} = (\partial \mathbf{d}, \partial \mathbf{s})^T$. We have

 $\partial \mathbf{h} = (\partial \mathbf{h} / \partial \mathbf{d}) \partial \mathbf{d} + (\partial \mathbf{h} / \partial \mathbf{s}) \partial \mathbf{s}.$

Assuming \mathbf{x}_* is regular thus $(\partial \mathbf{h}/\partial \mathbf{s})^{-1}$ exists, we further have

$$\partial \mathbf{s} = \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)^{-1} \partial \mathbf{h} - \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{d}}\right) \partial \mathbf{d}.$$
 (11)

Recall that the perturbation of the objective function is

$$\partial f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{d}}\right) \partial \mathbf{d} + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right) \partial \mathbf{s}.$$
 (12)

quality constraints

KKT conditions

Sensitivity analysis

Generalized reduced gradient

<ロト < @ ト < ミト < ミト ミ ふかか

Sensitivity analysis (2/2)

Use Equation (11) in Equation (12) to have

$$\partial f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)^{-1} \partial \mathbf{h} + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial \mathbf{d}}\right) \partial \mathbf{d}.$$
 (13)

Notice that the reduced gradient $(\partial z/\partial \mathbf{d})$ is zero at \mathbf{x}_* . Therefore

$$\partial f(\mathbf{x}_*) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)^{-1} \partial \mathbf{h}$$

= $-\boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \partial \mathbf{h}.$ (14)

To conclude, for a unit perturbation in active (equality and inequality) constraints $\partial \mathbf{h}$, the optimal objective value will be changed by $-\lambda$. Note that the analysis here is based on first-order approximation and is only valid for small changes in constraints.

Outline Equality const 0000000

lity constraints

KT conditions

Sensitivity analysis

Generalized reduced gradient

<ロト < @ ト < 注 ト < 注 ト 注 3866

Generalized reduced gradient (1/2)

We discussed the optimality conditions for constrained problems. Generalized reduced gradient (GRG) is an iterative algorithm to find solutions for $(\partial z/\partial \mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{0}^T$.

Similar to the gradient descent method for unconstrained problems, we update the decision variables by

$$\mathbf{d}_{k+1} = \mathbf{d}_k - \alpha (\partial z / \partial \mathbf{d})_k^T.$$

The corresponding state variables can be found by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_{k+1}' &= \mathbf{s}_k - (\partial \mathbf{h} / \partial \mathbf{s})_k^{-1} (\partial \mathbf{h} / \partial \mathbf{d})_k \partial \mathbf{d}_k \\ &= \mathbf{s}_k + \alpha_k (\partial \mathbf{h} / \partial \mathbf{s})_k^{-1} (\partial \mathbf{h} / \partial \mathbf{d})_k (\partial z / \partial \mathbf{d})_k^T \end{aligned}$$

Equality constraints

KKT conditions

Sensitivity analysis

Generalized reduced gradient

Generalized reduced gradient (2/2)

Note that the above calculation is based on the linearization of the constraints and it will not satisfy the constraints exactly unless they are all linear. However, a solution to the nonlinear system

$$\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{d}_{k+1},\mathbf{s}_{k+1})=\mathbf{0},$$

given \mathbf{d}_{k+1} can be found iteratively using \mathbf{s}'_{k+1} as an initial guess and the following iteration

$$[\mathbf{s}_{k+1}]_{j+1} = [\mathbf{s}_{k+1} - (\partial \mathbf{h}/\partial \mathbf{s})_{k+1}^{-1}\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{d}_{k+1}, \mathbf{s}_{k+1})]_j.$$

The iteration on the decision variables may also be performed based on Newton's method:

$$\mathbf{d}_{k+1} = \mathbf{d}_k - \alpha (\partial^2 z / \partial \mathbf{d}^2)_k^{-1} (\partial z / \partial \mathbf{d})_k^T.$$

The state variables can also be adjusted by the quadratic approximation

$$\mathbf{s}_{k+1} = \mathbf{s}_k + (\partial \mathbf{s}/\partial \mathbf{d})_k \partial \mathbf{d}_k + (1/2) \partial \mathbf{d}_k^T (\partial^2 \mathbf{s}/\partial \mathbf{d}^2)_k \partial \mathbf{d}_k.$$

The GRG algorithm can be used with the presence of inequality constraints when accompanied by an active set algorithm. This will be discussed in Chapter 7.